



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/719,131	11/21/2003	James F. McNulty JR.	JMC-16	2230		
1054	7590 04/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LEONARD CORPORAT	TACHNER, A PROFES	SIONAL LAW	СНАМВЕ	CHAMBERS, TROY		
	PARK CIRCLE, SUITE 38-	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA	•		3641			
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/719,131	MCNULTY, JAMES F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
:	Troy Chambers	3641					
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	••				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutes of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutes are the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>22 <i>February 2005</i></u> .	•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the f	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	21(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
: a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in a	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	•				
application from the Internationa	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action to	for a list of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)	, ,	0					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892) 	4) [_] Interview 0-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/719,131

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 02/22/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Group I and II should be examined as a single group since they are classified in the same class/subclass. This is found persuasive and the restriction requirement between Groups I and II is withdrawn.

The remaining requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1, 3 and 6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 02/22/05.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the examiner on form PTO-892 has cited the references, they have not been considered.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant is to amend claim 4 to make clear whether the "in parallel" connection is referring to a

Application/Control Number: 10/719,131 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

mechanical connection (pyrotechnic devices are physically parallel to each other) or an electrical connection. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6575073 issued to McNulty et al ("McNulty"). McNulty discloses an immobilization weapon in which pyrotechnic devices are electrically and mechanically connected in parallel with each other and electrically and mechanically with a pair of darts. The darts and pyrotechnic devices are placed within spaced apart cartridges as disclosed in Figs. 7 and 8. The pyrotechnic devices are inherently in a position that is "adjacent" to the darts since adjacent is defined by Webster's as being "not distant, nearby".

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited on form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show similar immobilization devices.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/719,131

: Art Unit: 3641

6874 between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., M-F. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone,

can be reached at (571) 272-6875.

Page 4